



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Table with 5 columns: APPLICATION NO., FILING DATE, FIRST NAMED INVENTOR, ATTORNEY DOCKET NO., CONFIRMATION NO.
Row 1: 10/038,796, 12/31/2001, Marcille F. Ruman, KCC 4767 (K.C. NO. 17,080), 2941
Row 2: 45736, 7590, 12/21/2007, Christopher M. Goff (27839), ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP, ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, SUITE 2600, ST. LOUIS, MO 63102
Row 3: EXAMINER REICHLER, KARIN M
Row 4: ART UNIT 3761, PAPER NUMBER
Row 5: NOTIFICATION DATE 12/21/2007, DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

# Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/038,796	RUMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Karin M. Reichle	3761	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Karin M. Reichle. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Mr. Richard Bridge. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 10 December 2007.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant informed the Examiner that they believed the abandonment was premature. The Examiner informed Applicant that the case was abandoned after consultation with the SPRE shop and that jurisdiction of such application was no longer with the Examiner. Therefore, Applicant was directed to the SPRE shop with regard to questions as to revival/vacation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

K. M. Reichle  
Examiner's signature, if required